BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 03341 1828

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1997-98

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

AYX 8624
BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP 11 1997
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1997-98

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/evidence01mewe_0

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 5

1(a) Relevancy 5

- Cloutier v. The Queen 5
- Morris v. The Queen 9
- R. v. Seaboyer 18

1(b) Burden and Quantum of Proof in Criminal Cases 58

1(b)(i) Standard for Directed Verdict of Acquittal *58*

R. v. Monteleone 58

1(b)(ii) Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt *65*

Morin v. R. 65

1(b)(iii) Standard for Putting a Defence in Issue *78*

- Pappajohn v. R. 78

1(b)(iv) Unreasonable Verdict *87*

2. COMPETENCE, COMPELLABILITY, OATHS 88

2(a) Spousal Incompetency 88

- R. v. Salituro 88
- R. v. Hawkins 98
- R. v. Duvivier 105

2(b) Does Competence Imply Compellability? 111

R. v. McGinty 113

2(c) Accused's Failure to Testify 125

- McConnell and Beer v. The Queen 125
- R. v. Noble 129

2(d) The Oath and its Substitutes 144

R. v. Budin 144
R. v. Walsh 147

2(e) The Unsworn Evidence of Children 153

R. v. Khan 153
R. v. Marquard 158

2(f) Refreshing and Recording Memory 169

R. v. Davey 169

3. HEARSAY **172**

3(a) Introduction **172**

Subramaniam v. Public Procescutor 172
R. v. Wildman 174
Myers v. Director of Public Prosecutions 181
Ares v. Venner 186
R. v. Wysochan 189
Ratten v. The Queen 191
Wright v. Tatham 195
R. v. McKinnon 199
Problems: Hearsay or Non-hearsay? 202

3(b) Some Traditional Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay **205**

3(b)(i) Res Gestae ***205***

R. v. Bedingfield 205
R. v. Clark 206
R. v. Risby 214
R. v. Keeler 215

3(b)(ii) Statements Against Interest ***219***

Donnelly v. United States 219
R. v. O'Brien 221
R. v. Pelletier 225
Lucier v. The Queen 228
Note: Declarations against Interest 229

3(b)(iii) Prior Judicial Proceedings ***231***

R. v. Potvin 231

3(b)(iv) Bodily and Mental Condition ***245***

3(b)(v) Statements of Intention ***245***

Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Hillmon 245
R. v. Wainwright 247
R. v. P. (R.) 248

3(b)(vi) Statements by Parties ***257***

Note: Defining Party Admissions 257
Yorkton Agricultural & Industrial Exhibition Association Ltd. v. Morley et al. 258

3(c) The Principled Approach **260**

R. v. Khan 260
R. v. Smith 265
R. v. B.(K.G.) 274
Problems: Applying B.(K.G.) 301
R. v. Hawkins 303

4. OPINION EVIDENCE 312

4(a) Fact or Opinion? 312

R. v. Graat 312
Problem 318

4(b) Expert Opinion: Basic Principles 318

R. v. Mohan 318
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., ... 322
Beland v. The Queen 330
R. v. Lavallee 348

4(c) Expert Opinion and Hearsay 369

Wilband v. The Queen 369
R. v. Abbey 370
R. v. Lavallee 378